

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Analytic representation of the square-root operator

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2005 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 2479

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/38/11/010)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.66 The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 20:05

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 (2005) 2479-2496

doi:10.1088/0305-4470/38/11/010

Analytic representation of the square-root operator

Tepper L Gill^{1,2,3,4} and W W Zachary^{1,2}

¹ Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, Howard University Washington, DC 20059, USA

² Computational Physics Laboratory, Howard University Washington, DC 20059, USA

³ Department of Mathematics, Howard University Washington, DC 20059, USA

Received 22 November 2004, in final form 26 November 2004 Published 2 March 2005 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/38/2479

Abstract

In this paper, we use the theory of fractional powers of linear operators to construct a general (analytic) representation theory for the square-root energy operator of relativistic quantum theory, which is valid for all values of the spin. We focus on the spin 1/2 case, considering a few simple yet solvable and physically interesting cases, in order to understand how to interpret the operator. Our general representation is uniquely determined by the Green's function for the corresponding Schrödinger equation. We find that, in general, the operator has a representation as a nonlocal composite of (at least) three singularities. In the standard interpretation, the particle component has two negative parts and one (hard core) positive part, while the antiparticle component has two positive parts and one (hard core) negative part. This effect is confined within a Compton wavelength such that, at the point of singularity, they cancel each other providing a finite result. Furthermore, the operator looks like the identity outside a few Compton wavelengths (cut-off). To our knowledge, this is the first example of a physically relevant operator with these properties. When the magnetic field is constant, we obtain an additional singularity, which could be interpreted as particle absorption and emission. The physical picture that emerges is that, in addition to the confined singularities and the additional attractive (repulsive) term, the effective mass of the composite acquires an oscillatory behaviour. We also derive an alternative relationship between the Dirac equation (with minimal coupling) and the square-root equation that is somewhat closer than the one obtained via the Foldy-Wouthuysen method, in that there is no change in the wavefunction. This is accomplished by considering the scalar potential to be a part of the mass. This approach leads to a new Klein-Gordon equation and a new square-root equation, both of which can have the same eigenfunctions and (related) eigenvalues as the Dirac equation. Finally, we develop a perturbation theory that allows us to extend the range of our theory to include suitable spacetime-dependent potentials.

PACS number: 03.65.-w

⁴ On leave at the School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, MN, USA.

0305-4470/05/112479+18\$30.00 © 2005 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK

1. Background

1.1. Introduction

In the transition from nonrelativistic to relativistic quantum theory, the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{[\mathbf{p} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}]^2}{2m} + V$$

is replaced by the square-root equation:

$$H = \sqrt{c^2 [\mathbf{p} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}]^2 + m^2 c^4} + V.$$

It is quite natural to expect that the first choice for a relativistic wave equation would be

$$\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left[\sqrt{c^2 [\mathbf{p} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}]^2 + m^2 c^4} + V \right] \psi,$$

where $\mathbf{p} = -i\hbar\nabla$. However, no one knew how to directly relate this equation to physically important problems. Furthermore, this equation is nonlocal, meaning, in the terminology of the times (1920–1930), that it is represented by a power series in the momentum operator. Historically, Schrödinger [1], Gordon [2], Klein [3] and others [4–6] attempted to circumvent this problem by starting with the relationship

$$(H - V)^{2} = c^{2} \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right)^{2} + m^{2} c^{4}$$

which led to the Klein–Gordon equation. At that time, the hope was to construct a relativistic quantum theory that would provide a natural extension of the nonrelativistic case. However, the problems with the Klein–Gordon equation were so great that many investigators became frustrated and it was dropped from serious consideration for a few years.

Dirac [7] argued that the proper equation should be first order in both the space and time variables in order to be a true relativistic wave equation, and this led to the well-known Dirac equation.

1.2. Purpose

In a survey article on relativistic wave equations, Foldy [8] pointed out that, in the absence of interaction, the above equation 'gives a perfectly good wave equation for the description of a (spin 0) free particle'. Foldy [9] had shown in an earlier paper that the square-root form

$$H = \beta \sqrt{c^2 \mathbf{p}^2 + m^2 c^4},$$

provides a canonical representation for particles of all finite spin. However, when A is nonzero, the noncommutativity of p and A 'appeared' to make it impossible to give an unambiguous meaning to the radical operator.

In this paper, we take a new look at this equation and the general problem of its relationship with the Klein–Gordon equation. First, we investigate the extent that the noncommutativity of **p** and **A** affect our ability to give an unambiguous meaning to the square-root operator. We show that a unique analytic representation is well defined for suitable time-independent **A** and *m*, provided we can solve a corresponding equation of the Schrödinger type. We then investigate a few simple cases of solvable models in order to get a feel for the physical interpretation of this operator. Finally, motivated by previous work [10], we show that the relationship of the square-root operator equation with the Klein–Gordon equation depends explicitly on the Minkowski postulate. Dropping this postulate, we derive another Klein– Gordon-type equation that does not depend on this postulate and gives a direct relationship of the square-root equation (without the use of a unitary transformation). To begin with, we start with the equation

$$S[\psi] = \mathbf{H}_{s}\psi = \left\{\beta\sqrt{c^{2}\left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}\right)^{2} - e\hbar c\mathbf{\Sigma}\cdot\mathbf{B} + m^{2}c^{4}}\right\}\psi,$$
(1*a*)

where β and Σ are the Dirac matrices: $\beta = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix}$, $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{bmatrix}$; I and σ are the identity and Pauli matrices, respectively. In section 2, we construct an analytic representation for (1*a*), under special conditions. After exploring simplifications in sections 3–5, we argue that the special conditions are physically reasonable. In section 6, we construct the general solution to the equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi = \left\{\beta\sqrt{c^2 \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}\right)^2 - e\hbar c \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} + m^2 c^4}\right\}\psi.$$
(1b)

In section 7, we show that if we treat the potential energy as a part of the mass, there is an alternate connection between the Dirac and square-root equations. In the conclusion, we summarize our results and discuss open problems. In the appendix, we summarize the basic theory of the semigroups of operators and fractional powers of closed linear operators so that the paper is self-contained.

2. General representation ansatz

In this section, we construct a general analytic representation for equation (1*a*). To make our approach clear, set $\mathbf{G} = -(\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A})^2$ and $\omega^2 = m^2c^2 - \frac{e\hbar}{c}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\cdot\mathbf{B}$. Except for time independence, we leave the form of the vector potential and the mass unspecified. We assume that $-\mathbf{G} + \omega^2$ satisfies the conditions required to be a generator of a unitary group (self-adjoint). Using the above notation, we can write (1*a*) as

$$S[\psi] = \left\{ c\beta \sqrt{-\mathbf{G} + \omega^2} \right\} \psi.$$
⁽²⁾

Using the analytic theory of fractional powers of closed linear operators (see the appendix, equation (A.8)), it can be shown that, for generators of unitary groups, we can write (2) as (using $\sqrt{\mathbf{F}} = (1/\sqrt{\mathbf{F}})\mathbf{F}$)

$$S[\psi] = \frac{c\beta}{\pi} \int_0^\infty [(\lambda + \omega) - \mathbf{G}]^{-1} (-\mathbf{G} + \omega^2) \psi \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\gamma}},$$
(3)

where $[(\lambda + \omega^2) - \mathbf{G}]^{(-1)}$ is the resolvent associated with the operator $(\mathbf{G} - \omega^2)$. The resolvent can be computed directly if we can find the fundamental solution to the equation

$$\partial Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; t) / \partial t + (\mathbf{G} - \omega^2) Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; t) = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}).$$
(4)

It is shown in Schulman [11] that the equation

$$i\hbar\partial\bar{Q}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y};t)/\partial t + \left(\frac{1}{2M}\mathbf{G} - V\right)\bar{Q}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y};t) = \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})$$
 (5)

has the general (infinitesimal) solution

$$\bar{Q}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; t) = \left(\frac{M}{2\pi i\hbar t}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}t}{\hbar} \left[\frac{M}{2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}}{t}\right)^2 - V(\mathbf{y})\right] + \frac{\mathrm{i}e}{\hbar c}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{A}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})\right]\right\},\tag{6}$$

provided that **A** and *m* are time independent. Note that we used the midpoint evaluation in the last term of equation (6) $\left(\mathbf{A}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})\right]\right)$. If we set $\omega^2/i\hbar = V$ and $M = i\hbar/2$, we get that

$$Q(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{y}, 0) = \int_{\mathbf{x}(0)=\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{x}(t)=\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{D}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{x}, t}[\mathbf{x}(s)] \exp\left\{\int_{0}^{t} V[\mathbf{x}(s)] \,\mathrm{d}s + \frac{\mathrm{i}e}{\hbar c} \int_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{x}(s)] \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}(s)\right\}$$
(7)

solves (4), where

$$\int_{\mathbf{x}(0)=\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{x}(t)=\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{D}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{x},t}[\mathbf{x}(s)] = \int_{\mathbf{x}(0)=\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{x}(t)=\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{x}(s)] \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{t} \left|\frac{d\mathbf{x}(s)}{ds}\right|^{2} ds\right\} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[\frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon(N)}\right]^{nN/2} \\ \times \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \prod_{k=1}^{N} dx_{j} \exp\left\{-\sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[\frac{1}{4\varepsilon(N)} \left(x_{j} - x_{j-1}\right)^{2}\right]\right\},$$

and $\varepsilon(N) = t/N$.

We now assume that we can write $\int_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{x}(s)] \cdot d\mathbf{x}(s) = \mathbf{\bar{A}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ and $\int_{0}^{t} V[\mathbf{x}(s)] ds = \bar{V}t$ $(\bar{V}t = \omega^{2}t/\hbar^{2})$ over the region of interest. (We will discuss the physical meaning of this assumption later.) Under these conditions, using (7), we can compute $[(\lambda + \omega^{2}) - \mathbf{G}]^{-1}$ from

$$[(\lambda + \omega^2) - \mathbf{G}]^{-1} f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} Q(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{y}, 0) f(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \right] \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{8}$$

If we interchange the order of integration in (8) and use (7), we get that

$$[(\lambda + \omega^2) - \mathbf{G}]^{-1} f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \exp\left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}e}{\hbar c} \bar{\mathbf{A}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\right\}$$
$$\times \left\{\int_0^\infty \exp\left[-\frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^2}{4t} - \frac{\omega^2 t}{\hbar^2} - \lambda t\right] \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}}\right\} f(\mathbf{y}) \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}. \tag{9a}$$

Using a table of Laplace transforms [12], the inner integral can be computed to get

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^{2}}{4t} - \frac{\omega^{2}t}{\hbar^{2}} - \lambda t\right] \frac{dt}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\exp\left[-\sqrt{(\lambda + \mu^{2})}\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|\right]}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|},$$
(9b)

where $\mu^2 = (\omega^2/\hbar^2)$. Equation (3) now becomes

$$S[\psi](\mathbf{x}) = \frac{c\beta}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \exp\left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}e}{\hbar c} \bar{\mathbf{A}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\right\} \times \frac{\exp\left[-\sqrt{(\lambda + \mu^2)} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|\right]}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|} (-\mathbf{G} + \omega^2) \psi(\mathbf{y}) \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \right\} \frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$
(10)

Once again, we interchange the order of integration in (10) and perform the computations to get ($\mathbf{K}_1[z]$ is the modified Bessel function of the third kind and first order)

$$\int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{\exp\left[-\sqrt{(\lambda+\mu^2)} \|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|\right]}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|} \right\} \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = \frac{4\mu\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\pi^{1/2}} \frac{\mathbf{K}_1[\mu\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|]}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|}.$$
 (11)

Thus, if we set $\mathbf{a} = \frac{e}{\hbar c} \mathbf{A}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{e}{\hbar c} \bar{\mathbf{A}}$, we get

$$S[\psi](\mathbf{x}) = \frac{c\beta}{2\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \exp[i\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})] \frac{\mu \mathbf{K}_1[\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|} (-\mathbf{G} + \omega^2) \psi(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}. \tag{12}$$

Now, $-\mathbf{G} + \omega^2 = \hbar^2 (-\Delta + 2\mathbf{i}\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla + \mathbf{i}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^2 + \mu^2)$, so that (12) becomes

$$S[\psi](\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\hbar^2 c\beta}{2\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \exp(i\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) \frac{\mu \mathbf{K}_1[\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|} \times (-\Delta + 2i\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla + i\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^2 + \mu^2) \psi(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}.$$
(13)

Before continuing, we recall a few standard results that will be used to compute (13). We assume that $f, g \in \mathbf{H}_0^2(\mathbf{D}), \mathbf{D} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^3$, and the boundary $\partial \mathbf{D}$ is smooth. Here $\mathbf{H}_0^2(\mathbf{D})$ is the space of functions with second distributional derivatives in $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbf{D})$ that vanish on $\partial \mathbf{D}$. From the divergence theorem we have (ν is the outward normal on $\partial \mathbf{D}$)

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} fg_{y_i} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} = \int_{\partial \mathbf{D}} (fg) \nu_i \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{S} - \int_{\mathbf{D}} gf_{y_i} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y},\tag{14}$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} f(\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla) g \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} = \int_{\partial \mathbf{D}} (fg) (\mathbf{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{S} - \int_{\mathbf{D}} g(\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla) f \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}.$$
(15)

We will also need Green's identity in the form

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} f \Delta g \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} = \int_{\partial \mathbf{D}} f g_{\nu} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{S} - \int_{\partial \mathbf{D}} g f_{\nu} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{S} + \int_{\mathbf{D}} g \Delta f \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}.$$

Let us now consider a ball $\mathbf{B}_{\rho}(\mathbf{x})$ of radius ρ about \mathbf{x} so that $\mathbf{R}^{3} = \mathbf{R}_{\rho}^{3} \cup \mathbf{B}_{\rho}(\mathbf{x})$, where $\mathbf{R}_{\rho}^{3} = (\mathbf{R}^{3} \setminus \mathbf{B}_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}))$, so that $\partial \mathbf{R}_{\rho}^{3} = (\partial \mathbf{R}^{3} \setminus \partial \mathbf{B}_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}))$. Let ν be the outward normal on $\partial \mathbf{R}_{\rho}^{3}$. It follows that $-\nu$ is the outward normal on $\mathbf{B}_{\rho}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} - \nu\rho$ on $\partial \mathbf{B}_{\rho}(\mathbf{x})$. Using (14) and (15), we can write (13) as $((u = \mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|)$ and $\mathbf{T}[\psi](\mathbf{x}) = \frac{2\pi^{2}\beta}{\hbar^{2}c} S[\psi](\mathbf{x}))$

$$\mathbf{T}[\psi]_{\rho} = \int_{\mathbf{R}_{\rho}^{3}} \exp(i\mathbf{\bar{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}))\mu^{2}[\mathbf{K}_{1}(u)/u](-\Delta + 2i\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla + i\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^{2} + \mu^{2})\psi \,d\mathbf{y}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{R}_{\rho}^{3}} \exp(i\mathbf{\bar{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}))\mu^{2}[\mathbf{K}_{1}(u)/u](\mu^{2} + i\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^{2})\psi \,d\mathbf{y}$$

$$- 2i\int_{\mathbf{R}_{\rho}^{3}} \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla \{\exp(i\mathbf{\bar{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}))\mu^{2}[\mathbf{K}_{1}(u)/u]\}\psi \,d\mathbf{y}$$

$$- \int_{\mathbf{R}_{\rho}^{3}} \Delta \{\exp(i\mathbf{\bar{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}))\mu^{2}[\mathbf{K}_{1}(u)/u]\}\psi \,d\mathbf{y}$$

$$- \int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_{\rho}^{3}} \{\exp(i\mathbf{\bar{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}))\mu^{2}[\mathbf{K}_{1}(u)/u]\}_{-\nu}\psi \,d\mathbf{S}$$

$$+ \int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_{\rho}^{3}} \{\exp(i\mathbf{\bar{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}))\mu^{2}[\mathbf{K}_{1}(u)/u]\}\psi_{-\nu} \,d\mathbf{S}$$

$$+ 2i\int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_{\rho}^{3}} \exp(i\mathbf{\bar{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}))\mu^{2}[\mathbf{K}_{1}(u)/u]\psi(\mathbf{a} \cdot \nu) \,d\mathbf{S}. \tag{16}$$

It is clear that the surface integrals vanish on $\partial \mathbf{R}^3$, so we need only consider them on $\partial \mathbf{B}_{\rho}(\mathbf{x})$. It is easy to check that the integrands in the last two terms are continuous so that they vanish as $\rho \to 0$. Easy analysis shows that the only possible nonvanishing part of the remaining surface term is $(d\mathbf{S} = \rho^2 \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi = u^2/\mu^2 \, d\Omega)$

$$\int_{\partial \mathbf{B}_{\rho}} \exp[i\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}\rho] u^2 [\mathbf{K}_1(u)/u]_{-\nu} \psi \, \mathrm{d}\Omega, \qquad (17)$$

where, on $\partial \mathbf{B}_{\rho}(\mathbf{x})$, $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| = \rho$, $(x_i - y_i) = v_i$ and $u = \mu \rho$. We also have

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{K}_{1}(u)}{u}\right]_{-\nu} = -\nu \cdot \nabla \left[\frac{\mathbf{K}_{1}(u)}{u}\right] = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} \nu_{i} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u} \left[\frac{\mathbf{K}_{1}(u)}{u}\right] \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{i}},$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u} \left[\frac{\mathbf{K}_1(u)}{u} \right] = -\frac{\mathbf{K}_2(u)}{u}, \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i} = \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y_i} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| - \mu \frac{(x_i - y_i)}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|},$$

so that

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{K}_{1}(u)}{u}\right]_{-\nu} = \frac{\mathbf{K}_{2}(u)}{u} [\rho \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \nabla \mu - \mu].$$
(18)

Assuming that $\mu \in \mathbf{H}_0^2(\mathbf{R}^3)$, it is easy to see that $u^2[\mathbf{K}_2(u)/u]\mathbf{\nu} \cdot \nabla \mu \rho$ is continuous as $\rho \to 0$, so that the surface integral of this term vanishes. Thus, we only need consider

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \int_{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| = \rho} \exp\{i\bar{\mathbf{a}}[\mathbf{x} - \nu\rho/2] \cdot \nu\rho\} \psi(\mathbf{x} - \nu\rho) u \mathbf{K}_2(u) \,\mathrm{d}\Omega.$$
(19)

The first three terms in the above integral are continuous as $\rho \to 0$. However, as $u = \mu \rho$ and $\mathbf{K}_2(u) \approx 1/u^2$ near zero, we see that because of the last term, the integral diverges like 1/u. This is the first of several divergent integrals that arise in the analytic representation of the square-root operator. For later use, we represent it as

$$4\pi \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \exp(i\mathbf{\bar{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) \mu^3 \frac{\mathbf{K}_2[\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \psi(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}.$$
(20)

In the limit as $\rho \rightarrow 0$, equation (16) becomes

$$\mathbf{T}[\psi] = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} (\mu^{2} + i\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^{2}) \exp(i\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}))\mu^{2} \frac{\mathbf{K}_{1}[\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|} \psi \, d\mathbf{y}$$

$$- 2i \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla \left\{ \exp(i\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}))\mu^{2} \frac{\mathbf{K}_{1}[\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|} \right\} \psi \, d\mathbf{y}$$

$$- \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \Delta \left\{ \exp(i\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}))\mu^{2} \frac{\mathbf{K}_{1}[\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|} \right\} \psi \, d\mathbf{y}$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \exp(i\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}))\mu^{3} \frac{\mathbf{K}_{2}[\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|} 4\pi \, \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\psi \, d\mathbf{y}.$$
(21)

The above expression can be further refined after computation of the middle two terms. The calculations are long but straightforward, so we provide intermediate steps omitting details. Using $\Delta(fg) = f \Delta g + f \Delta g + 2\nabla f \cdot \nabla g$, the third term becomes

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \Delta \left\{ \exp(i\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) \mu \frac{\mathbf{K}_{1}[\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|} \right\} \psi \, d\mathbf{y}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \Delta \{ \exp(i\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) \mu^{2} \} \frac{\mathbf{K}_{1}[\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|} \psi \, d\mathbf{y}$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \exp(i\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) \mu^{2} \Delta \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{K}_{1}[\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|} \right\} \psi \, d\mathbf{y}$$

$$+ 2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \nabla \{ \exp(i\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) \mu^{2} \} \cdot \nabla \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{K}_{1}[\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|} \right\} \psi \, d\mathbf{y}. \tag{22}$$

For further refinement, we need the following $(u = \mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|, w = \exp(i\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}))\mu^2)$: $\nabla [\mathbf{K}_1(u)/u] = [\mathbf{K}_3(u)/u](\nabla u)^2 - [\mathbf{K}_2(u)/u](\Delta u), \qquad \nabla [\mathbf{K}_1(u)/u] = -\nabla u [\mathbf{K}_2(u)/u],$ $\nabla u = (\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \nabla \mu - \mu [(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})/\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|]) = u \left(\frac{\nabla \mu}{\mu}\right) - \mu^2 \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})}{u}, \qquad (23)$

$$(\nabla u)^{2} = \mu^{2} + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^{2} (\nabla \mu)^{2} - 2\mu [\nabla \mu \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})],$$

$$\Delta u = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \Delta \mu - 2[\nabla \mu \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) - \mu] / \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|.$$

$$K_{3}[u]/u = K_{1}[u]/u + 4K_{2}[u]/u^{2}, \qquad K_{2}[u]/u = K_{0}[u]/u + 2K_{1}[u]/u^{2}.$$
(24)

Using (23), we can compute (22) and the second term of (21) to get (z = x - y)

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \Delta \left\{ w \frac{\mathbf{K}_{1}[u]}{u} \right\} \psi \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \Delta w \frac{\mathbf{K}_{1}[u]}{u} \psi \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} w \left[\mu^{2} + u^{2} \frac{(\nabla \mu)^{2}}{\mu^{2}} - 2[\nabla \mu \cdot \mathbf{u}] \right] \frac{\mathbf{K}_{3}[u]}{u} \psi \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}$$

$$- \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} w \left[u \frac{\Delta \mu}{\mu} - 2 \frac{[\nabla \mu \cdot \mathbf{u} - \mu^{2}]}{u} \right] \frac{\mathbf{K}_{2}[u]}{u} \psi$$

$$- 2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \left(u \left(\frac{\nabla w \cdot \nabla \mu}{\mu} \right) - \mu \frac{\nabla w \cdot \mathbf{u}}{u} \right) \frac{\mathbf{K}_{2}[u]}{u} \psi \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}, \qquad (25)$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla \left\{ w \frac{\mathbf{K}_{1}[u]}{u} \right\} \psi \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} = + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \left(\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla w \right) \frac{\mathbf{K}_{1}[u]}{u} \psi \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}$$
$$- \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} w \left\{ u \frac{\left(\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla \mu \right)}{\mu} - \mu \frac{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{u} \right\} \frac{\mathbf{K}_{2}[u]}{u} \psi \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}.$$
(26)

In order to complete our representation for the square-root operator, we need to compute ∇w and Δw :

$$\nabla w = w \left\{ 2 \frac{\nabla \mu}{\mu} + \mathbf{i} [\nabla(\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{z})] \right\} = w \left\{ 2 \frac{\nabla \mu}{\mu} + \mathbf{i} [(\mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{a}}] - \mathbf{i} \bar{\mathbf{a}} \right\},$$

$$\Delta w = w \left\{ 2 \left[\frac{\Delta \mu}{\mu} + \left(\frac{\nabla \mu}{\mu} \right)^2 \right] + 4\mathbf{i} \left[\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla(\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{z}) \cdot \nabla \mu \right] + \mathbf{i} [\Delta(\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{z})] - [\nabla(\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{z})]^2 \right\}.$$
(27)
It follows that

It follows that

$$\mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla w = w \left\{ 2 \frac{\mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla \mu}{\mu} + \mathbf{i} [(\mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla) \bar{\mathbf{a}}] \cdot \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{i} \mathbf{z} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{a}} \right\},$$

$$\left(\frac{\nabla w \cdot \nabla \mu}{\mu} \right) = w \left\{ 2 \frac{(\nabla \mu)^2}{\mu^2} + \mathbf{i} [(\mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla) \bar{\mathbf{a}}] \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla \mu}{\mu} \right) - \mathbf{i} \bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla \mu}{\mu} \right) \right\},$$
(28)

and

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S}[\psi] &= \frac{\hbar^2 \mu^2 c \beta}{2\pi^2} \Biggl\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (\mu^2 + i\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^2) \exp(i\mathbf{\bar{a}} \cdot \mathbf{z}) \frac{\mathbf{K}_1[\mu \| \mathbf{z} \|]}{\mu \| \mathbf{z} \|} \psi \, d\mathbf{y} \\ &+ \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \exp(i\mathbf{\bar{a}} \cdot \mathbf{z}) \mu^3 \frac{\mathbf{K}_2[\mu \| \mathbf{z} \|]}{\mu \| \mathbf{z} \|} 4\pi \delta(\mathbf{z}) \psi \, d\mathbf{y} - 2i \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (\mathbf{\bar{a}} \cdot \nabla w) \frac{\mathbf{K}_1[\mu \| \mathbf{z} \|]}{\mu \| \mathbf{z} \|} \psi \, d\mathbf{y} \\ &+ 2i \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} w \left\{ \| \mathbf{z} \| (\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla \mu) - \mu \frac{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z}}{\| \mathbf{z} \|} \right\} \frac{\mathbf{K}_2[\mu \| \mathbf{z} \|]}{\mu \| \mathbf{z} \|} \psi \, d\mathbf{y} - \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \Delta w \frac{\mathbf{K}_1[\mu \| \mathbf{z} \|]}{\mu \| \mathbf{z} \|} \psi \, d\mathbf{y} \\ &- \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} w [\mu^2 + \| \mathbf{z} \|^2 (\nabla \mu)^2 - 2\mu [\nabla \mu \cdot \mathbf{z}]] \frac{\mathbf{K}_3[\mu \| \mathbf{z} \|]}{\mu \| \mathbf{z} \|} \psi \, d\mathbf{y} \\ &+ \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} w \left[\| \mathbf{z} \| \Delta \mu - 2 \frac{[\nabla \mu \cdot \mathbf{z} - \mu]}{\| \mathbf{z} \|} \right] \frac{\mathbf{K}_2[\mu \| \| \mathbf{z} \|]}{\mu \| \mathbf{z} \|} \psi \, d\mathbf{y} \\ &+ 2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \left(\| \mathbf{z} \| (\nabla w \cdot \nabla \mu) - \mu \frac{\nabla w \cdot \mathbf{z}}{\| \mathbf{z} \|} \right) \frac{\mathbf{K}_2[\mu \| \| \mathbf{z} \|}{\mu \| \mathbf{z} \|} \psi \, d\mathbf{y} \Biggr\}. \end{split}$$
(29)

Equation (29) allows us to explore the physical consequences for a number of possible combinations of (time-independent) vector potentials. However, we must first establish the conditions under which our initial assumptions can be expected to hold.

3. The free particle case (μ constant and A = 0)

The free particle case is the simplest (μ constant and A = 0). In this case, (29) reduces to

$$S[\psi](\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\mu^2 \hbar^2 c\beta}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \left[\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|} - 2\pi \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \right] \frac{\mathbf{K}_2[\mu\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|]}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|} \psi(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}. \tag{30}$$

Using $K_2[u]/u = K_0[u]/u + 2K_1[u]/u^2$, we see that (30) has the representation

$$S[\psi](\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\mu^2 \hbar^2 c \beta}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \left[\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|} - 2\pi \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \right] \\ \times \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{K}_0[\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|} + \frac{2\mathbf{K}_1[\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|^2} \right\} \psi(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}.$$
(31)

Gill [10] first derived equation (31) using the method of fractional powers of closed linear operators. In order to identify a possible physical interpretation for (31), it will be helpful to review some properties of the Bessel functions $K_0[u]$, $K_{1/2}[u]/u^{1/2}$ and $K_1[u]/u$. If $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$, the effective kernel in (31) is

$$\frac{\mathbf{K}_{0}[\boldsymbol{\mu} \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^{2}} + \frac{2\mathbf{K}_{1}[\boldsymbol{\mu} \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\boldsymbol{\mu} \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|^{3}}.$$
(32)

(Note that the integral of $||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||^{-2}$ is finite over \mathbf{R}^3 .) We follow Gradshteyn and Ryzhik [12]. For $0 < u \ll 1$, we have

$$\mathbf{K}_{1}[u]/u = c_{1}[1 + \theta_{1}(u)]u^{-2}
\mathbf{K}_{1/2}[u]/u^{1/2} = [\sqrt{\pi/2}]u^{-1}
\mathbf{K}_{0}[u] = c_{0}[1 + \theta_{0}(u)]\ln u^{-1}$$
(33a)

where $\theta_0(u) \downarrow 0, \theta_1(u) \downarrow 0, u \downarrow 0$. On the other hand, for $u \gg 1$, we have

$$\frac{\mathbf{K}_{1}[u]}{u} = c_{1}[1 + \theta_{1}'(u)] \frac{\exp\{-u\}}{u^{3/2}} \\
\mathbf{K}_{1/2}[u]/u^{1/2} = [\sqrt{\pi/2}] \frac{\exp\{-u\}}{u} \\
\mathbf{K}_{0}[u] = c_{0}[1 + \theta_{0}'(u)] \frac{\exp\{-u\}}{u^{1/2}}$$
(33b)

In this case, the functions $\theta'_0(u), \theta'_1(u)$ converge $\downarrow 0$ as $u \uparrow \infty$.

Recall that $g^2 \exp\{-u\}/u$ is the well-known Yukawa potential [13], conjectured in 1935 in order to account for the short range of the nuclear interaction that was expected to have massive exchange particles (where g represents the 'charge' of the exchange field). Yukawa assumed that the range of the exchange field was $1/\mu \cong 1.4$ fermi, which led to a mass value of about 170 times that of the electron. Anderson and Neddermeyer [14] discovered what was believed to be Yukawa's meson with a mass of 207 times that of an electron in 1935. However, this particle interacted so weakly with nuclei and had such a long lifetime, it was rejected as a participant in the nuclear interaction. Finally, in 1947, Lattes *et al* [15] identified the π -meson (pion) with all the expected properties.

Looking at equation (33*a*) in the strength of singularity sense, we see that when $0 < u \ll 1$,

$$\mathbf{K}_{1}[u]/u > \mathbf{K}_{1}[u]/u^{1/2} \gg \mathbf{K}_{0}[u].$$
(34)

The $\mathbf{K}_0[u]$ term is the weakest possible singularity in $\lim_{u\to 0} \{u^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{K}_0[u]\} = 0, \varepsilon > 0$. (In fact, it is an integrable singularity.) On the other hand, the $\mathbf{K}_1[u]/u$ term has the strongest singularity

(as it diverges like $1/u^2$), while the Yukawa term is halfway between them (diverges like 1/u). From equation (33*b*) we see that for large *u*, inequality (34) is reversed so that

$$\mathbf{K}_{0}[u] > \mathbf{K}_{1/2}[u]/u^{1/2} > \mathbf{K}_{1}[u]/u.$$
(35*a*)

Although all three terms in (35*a*) have an exponential cut-off, the $\mathbf{K}_0[u]$ term has the longest range (see (33*b*)). If we include the $-\mu^2$ term in equation (32), it becomes

$$-\mu^{3} \frac{\mathbf{K}_{0}[\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|^{2}} - 2\mu^{2} \frac{\mathbf{K}_{1}[\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|^{3}}.$$
(36)

Thus, $-\mu^3 \mathbf{K}_0[u]$ has an extra factor of μ , compared to the $\mathbf{K}_1[u]/u$ term, giving a value of 5×10^{13} cm⁻¹, assuming that the mass is that of an electron. Hence, although this term is the weakest of all possible singularities near $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$, it is asymptotically stronger by a factor of at least 10^9 in the asymptotic region. Thus, for $u \gg 1$, we can replace equation (35*a*) by

$$\mathbf{K}_{0}[u] \gg \mathbf{K}_{1/2}[u]/u^{1/2} > \mathbf{K}_{1}[u]/u.$$
(35b)

3.1. Discussion

Equation (31) is the first known example of a physically relevant operator with an analytic representation as a composite of three singularities. In the standard interpretation, the particle component has two negative and one (hard core) positive part, while the antiparticle component has two positive and one (hard core) negative part. This effect is confined within a Compton wavelength such that, at the point of singularity, the terms cancel each other providing the action of a well-defined operator. Furthermore, the operator looks (almost) like the identity outside a Compton wavelength, but has a residual instantaneous connection with all the particles in the universe at each point in time (spatially nonlocal). This suggests that the square-root operator might represent the inside of an extended object. We will return to this discussion after we study a few other exact representations.

4. The constant case (A and μ constant)

Since a tractable solution for equation (2) is difficult to find, it is of some comfort that a number of exact simple solutions are possible. These provide a wealth of physical insight into the nature of this operator during interaction. When **A** and μ are constant, we get another solvable problem, which is still of physical interest. In this case, since $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$ and $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = 0$, equation (29) becomes ($\bar{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{a}$)

$$S[\psi](\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\mu^2 \hbar^2 c \beta}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \exp(i\mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) \left[\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|} - 2\pi \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \right] \frac{\mathbf{K}_2[\mu \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|]}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|} \psi(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}.$$
(37)

Thus, when A and $\mu = mc/\hbar$ are both constant, we get a multiplicative exponential factor. This solution appears to be marginally more interesting than the free field case.

5. The constant field case (μ , B constant)

In this case, assuming a constant magnetic field **B** and constant mass, we get another solution. With $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z} \times \mathbf{B}$ and $\left[\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z} \times \mathbf{B}\right] \cdot \mathbf{dz} = \left[\frac{1}{2} \, \mathbf{dz} \times \mathbf{z}\right] \cdot \mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{dz}\| \|\mathbf{z}\|\lambda$, where $\lambda = \|\mathbf{B}\| \cos \hat{\theta}$ and $\hat{\theta}$ is the average of the angle between $\mathbf{dz} \times \mathbf{z}$ and **B** from \mathbf{x} to \mathbf{y} . If we compute the integral on the right-hand side of equation (7), we get $\int_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{x}} \left[\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z} \times \mathbf{B}\right] \cdot \mathbf{dz} = \frac{\lambda}{4} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|$. It is easy to see that $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0$ (recall $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{e}{\hbar c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{y})$) and $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0$. If we define $\bar{\mathbf{a}} = \chi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})$, such that $\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \frac{e\lambda}{4\hbar c} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|| ||\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}||$, equation (29) reduces to

$$\mathbf{S}[\psi] = \frac{\hbar^2 c\beta}{2\pi^2} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (\mathbf{a}^2 - 6\mathbf{i}\chi + 4\chi^2 \|\mathbf{x}\|^2) \mu \exp(\mathbf{i}\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) \frac{\mathbf{K}_1[\mu \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|]}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|} \psi \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \right. \\ \left. + \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \exp(\mathbf{i}\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) \mu^2 \frac{\mathbf{K}_2[\mu \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|]}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|} 4\pi \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \psi \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \right. \\ \left. - 2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \mu^2 \exp(\mathbf{i}\bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) [1 + \mathbf{i}\chi \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2} \\ \left. - \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{a} - \bar{\mathbf{a}}) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})] \frac{\mathbf{K}_2[\mu \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|]}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2} \psi \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \right\}.$$
(38*a*)

If we set $F = \chi ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||^2 - (\mathbf{a} - \bar{\mathbf{a}}) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$, we can write (38*a*) as

$$\mathbf{S}[\psi] = -\frac{\hbar^2 \mu^2 c\beta}{\pi^2} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \exp(i\mathbf{\bar{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) \times \left[\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|} - \frac{2\pi\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})}{1 + \mathrm{i}F} \right] [1 + \mathrm{i}F] \frac{\mathbf{K}_2[\mu\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|]}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|} \psi \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \right\} + \frac{\hbar^2 \mu c\beta}{2\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \exp(i\mathbf{\bar{a}} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) (\mathbf{a}^2 - 6\mathrm{i}\chi + 4\chi^2 \|\mathbf{x}\|^2) \frac{\mathbf{K}_1[\mu\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|]}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|} \psi \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}.$$
(38b)

Thus, in this case, we get two extra terms and a slightly different multiplicative exponential factor. The first term is purely imaginary and singular at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ like $1/||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||$ (like the Yukawa term). We interpreted this term as representing particle absorption and emission. The second term has a real and imaginary component, the real part is repulsive and the term is nonsingular. In addition, the effective mass μ is constant but matrix valued with complex components. In this case, $\mu^2 = m^2 c^2 / \hbar^2 - \frac{e}{\hbar c} \Sigma \cdot \mathbf{B}$, so with

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \sigma \end{pmatrix}; \qquad \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & 1 \\ 1 & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & -i \\ i & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{39}$$

$$\mu^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{m^{2}c^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} - \frac{e}{\hbar c}B_{3}\right)\mathbf{I}_{2} & \frac{ie}{\hbar c}(B_{2} - iB_{1})\mathbf{I}_{2} \\ \frac{-ie}{\hbar c}(B_{2} - iB_{1})\mathbf{I}_{2} & \left(\frac{m^{2}c^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} + \frac{e}{\hbar c}B_{3}\right)\mathbf{I}_{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(40)

We can rewrite μ as $\mu = U |\mu|$, where U is a isometric operator (a restricted unitary operator in C [4], the four-dimensional complex space), $|\mu| = [\mu^* \mu]^{1/2}$, where μ^* is the Hermitian conjugate of μ and the square root is computed using elementary spectral theory.

From properties of Bessel functions we know that, for nonintegral ν , we can represent $\mathbf{K}_{\nu}[u]$ as

$$(2/\pi)\mathbf{K}_{\nu}[u] = \frac{\mathbf{I}_{-\nu}(u) - \mathbf{I}_{\nu}(u)}{\sin \pi \nu} = \frac{\exp(i/2(\pi \nu))\mathbf{J}_{-\nu}(iu) - \exp(-i/2(\pi \nu))\mathbf{J}_{\nu}(iu)}{\sin \pi \nu}.$$
 (41)

In the limit as ν approaches an integer, equation (41) takes the indeterminate form 0/0, and is defined via L'Hôpital's rule. However, for our purposes, we assume that ν is close to an integer and $u = u_1 + iu_2, u_2 \neq 0$. It follows that $\mathbf{K}_{\nu}[u]$ acquires some of the oscillatory behaviour of $\mathbf{J}_{\nu}[z]$. Thus, we can interpret equation (40) as representing a pulsating mass (extended object of variable mass) with mean value $(\hbar/c) \|\mu\|$. If **B** is very large, we see that the effective mass can also be large. However, the operator still looks (almost) like the identity outside a few Compton wavelengths.

6. General solution

In this section, we construct the solution of equation (1b) for the constant **A** case. The solution for the other examples will be discussed in a future paper on the application of these results to the canonical proper time formulation of relativistic quantum mechanics. First, rewrite equation (7) as

$$Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; t) = \left(\frac{1}{4\pi t}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^2}{4t} - \mu^2 t + \frac{\mathrm{i}e}{\hbar c}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{A}\right\}.$$
 (42)

Now, using the theory of fractional powers, we note that if $\mathbf{T}[t, 0]$ is the semigroup associated with $-\mathbf{G} + \omega^2$, then the semigroup associated with $\sqrt{-\mathbf{G} + \omega^2}$ is given by (appendix, equations (A.7), (A.10))

$$\mathbf{T}_{1/2}[t,0]\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{4\pi s} \right)^{3/2} \exp\left\{ \left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2}{4s} - \mu^2 s \right) + \frac{\mathrm{i}e}{2\hbar c} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{A} \right\} \varphi(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \right\} \left\{ \left(\frac{ct}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \right) \frac{1}{s^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(ct)^2}{4s} \right) \right\} \, \mathrm{d}s.$$
(43)

From a table of Laplace transforms, we get

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{a}{s} - ps\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{s^{3}} = 2\left(\frac{p}{a}\right) K_{2}[2(ap)^{1/2}].$$
(44)

With $a = [\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 + c^2 t^2]/4$, $p = \mu^2$, we can interchange the order of integration to get

$$\mathbf{T}_{1/2}[t,0]\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{ct}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \exp\left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}e}{2\hbar c} (\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{A}\right\} \frac{2\mu^2 K_2[\mu(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^2 + c^2 t^2)^{1/2}]}{[\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^2 + c^2 t^2]} \varphi(\mathbf{y}) \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}.$$
(45)

We now use the fact that $\mathbf{T}_{1/2}[t, 0]$ has a holomorphic extension into the complex plane so that we may compute the limit as $t \to it$. Setting $\mathbf{U}[t, 0] = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \beta \mathbf{T}_{1/2}[(\mathbf{i} + \varepsilon)t, 0]$, we define (note that $\exp{\{\beta it \sqrt{-\mathbf{G} + \omega^2}\}} = \beta \exp{\{it \sqrt{-\mathbf{G} + \omega^2}\}}$)

$$\mathbf{Z}[\mu(c^{2}t^{2} - \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^{2})^{1/2}] = \frac{ct\beta}{4\pi} \begin{cases} \frac{-H_{2}^{(1)}[\mu(c^{2}t^{2} - \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^{2})^{1/2}]}{[c^{2}t^{2} - \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^{2}]}, & ct < -\|\mathbf{x}\|, \\ \frac{-2iK_{2}[\mu(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^{2} - c^{2}t^{2})^{1/2}]}{\pi[\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^{2} - c^{2}t^{2}]}, & c|t| < \|\mathbf{x}\|, \\ \frac{H_{2}^{(2)}[\mu(c^{2}t^{2} - \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^{2})^{1/2}]}{[c^{2}t^{2} - \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^{2}]}, & ct > \|\mathbf{x}\|, \end{cases}$$
(46*a*)

where $H_2^{(1)}$, $H_2^{(2)}$ are the Hankel functions (see [12]). Then it follows that

$$\mathbf{U}[t,0]\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \mu^2 \exp\left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}e}{2\hbar c}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\cdot\mathbf{A}\right\} \mathbf{Z}[\mu(c^2t^2 - \|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^2)^{1/2}]\varphi(\mathbf{y})\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}$$
(46b)

solves

$$i\hbar \partial \psi(\mathbf{x},t)/\partial t = \left\{ \beta \sqrt{c^2 \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right)^2 + m^2 c^4} \right\} \psi(\mathbf{x},t), \qquad \psi(\mathbf{x},0) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}).$$
(47)

7. An alternative Dirac, square-root connection

Before Minkowski's postulate and his geometric interpretation of the special theory, it was not uncommon to associate the potential energy with the mass of the system. Since that time, it has been assumed that the potential energy should always be treated as the fourth component of a 4-vector. This postulate has only been fruitful in the one-particle case (for both classical and quantum theories). It was first noted by Pryce [16] that, in the many-particle case, the canonical centre-of-mass vector is not the fourth component of a 4-vector so that a geometric interpretation is highly problematic. This is the major reason that there is not a (satisfactory) relativistic classical or quantum many-particle theory. A detailed review of the problems may be found in [17]. At the quantum level, Feynman's path integral formulation of quantum mechanics and his time-ordered operator formulation of quantum electrodynamics challenge Minkowski's geometric interpretation of the role for time. For a rigorous development of both these approaches, see [18, 19].

Returning to equation (1), when the mass is constant it was shown by Case [20] that a Foldy–Wouthuysen [21] transformation $(U_{FW}^{-1}\mathbf{H}_s U_{FW} = \mathbf{H}_D)$ may be constructed for particles of spins 0 and 1, then Pursey [22] showed that a transformation D exists for particles of arbitrary spin to map (1) into (we focus on the spin 1/2 case)

$$D[\Psi] = \mathbf{H}_D \Psi = \left\{ c \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) + mc^2 \beta \right\} \Psi.$$
(48)

In this section, we show that, when we give up the Minkowski postulate, there is another possible relationship between the square-root and Dirac equations that also has a certain appeal.

First, we note that minimal coupling may also be introduced into the interacting Dirac operator via $\left[mc^2\beta + V = \beta(mc^2 + \beta V), \pi = (\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A})\right]$

$$D[\Psi] = \{c\alpha \cdot \pi + \beta (mc^2 + \beta V)\}\Psi.$$
(49)

If we treat the potential energy as a part of the mass term, then we can write equation (49) in the form (see [23], p 329)

$$\{E - c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} - \boldsymbol{\beta}(mc^2 + \boldsymbol{\beta}V)\}\Psi = \boldsymbol{0}.$$
(50)

Now, multiply on the left by $E + c\alpha \cdot \pi + \beta (mc^2 + \beta V)$, do the standard computations using $e\alpha \cdot [E\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}E] = ie\hbar\alpha \cdot [\partial \mathbf{A}/c\partial t]$, $c\beta\alpha \cdot [\beta V\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}\beta V] = ie\hbarc\alpha \cdot \nabla\varphi$ and get

$$\left\{ E^{2} - c^{2}\pi^{2} + e\hbar c \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} + ie\hbar c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{E} + i\hbar \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + 2i\hbar c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla V - 2cV\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} - (mc^{2} + \beta V)^{2} \right\} \Psi = \mathbf{0},$$
(51)

where $V = e\varphi$ and $\mathbf{E} = -\partial \mathbf{A}/c\partial t - \nabla \varphi$ is the electric field. The $2ie\hbar c\alpha \cdot \nabla \varphi$ term occurs because we made φ a part of the mass, which led to a sign change. Thus, this approach does not quite lead to an electric dipole moment as in the standard method. The Klein–Gordon (type) equation related to (50) (with the same eigenfunctions) follows from (51)

$$-\hbar^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial t^{2}} = \left\{ c^{2} \pi^{2} + 2cV \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} - e\hbar c \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} - ie\hbar c \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{E} - i\hbar \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} - 2i\hbar c \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla V + (mc^{2} + \beta V)^{2} \right\} \Psi.$$
(52)

For comparison, from Schiff [23] (equations (42.9), p 320 and (43.25), p 329) we have ($V = e\varphi$, $\partial \varphi / \partial t = 0$)

$$-\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial t^2} - 2i\hbar c V \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \left\{ c^2 \pi^2 - e\hbar c \Sigma \cdot \mathbf{B} - ie\hbar c \alpha \cdot \mathbf{E} + i\hbar \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + m^2 c^4 - V^2 \right\} \Psi.$$

Returning to (51), we see that the solutions of the equation

$$E\Psi = \left\{\beta\sqrt{c^2\pi^2 + 2cV\alpha \cdot \mathbf{p} - e\hbar c\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} - ie\hbar c\alpha \cdot \mathbf{E} - i\hbar\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} - 2i\hbar c\alpha \cdot \nabla V + (mc^2 + \beta V)^2}\right\}\Psi$$
(53)

will also be the solutions of (52). Note that equation (53) is not a diagonalized representation. However, it is an exact representation, which can retain the same eigenfunctions and eigenvalues as the Dirac equation. Thus, we have another analytic representation for the Dirac equation, which need not be a Foldy–Wouthuysen transformation [44].

When **A** is time independent and V = 0, we obtain a (diagonalized) form similar to one derived in [24] via the Foldy–Wouthuysen transformation of [21]. In this situation, we obtain the most general (exact) representation that includes all time-independent vector potentials. (It is clear that, as expected, we can never obtain a diagonalized representation for nonzero V.)

While completing the final draft of this paper, we discovered a very interesting publication by Silenko [43] that includes a fairly complete review of the Foldy–Wouthuysen and other transformation methods, which seek to obtain consistent approximations to the Dirac equation in the relativistic and nonrelativistic cases. In the course of his work, Silenko shows that in many cases, the Foldy–Wouthuysen transformation is the identity operator. Our work suggests (but does not prove) that this may always be possible.

In order to see how equation (53) relates to the Schrödinger equation, rewrite it as

$$\left\{\beta mc^2 \sqrt{1 + \beta \frac{2V}{mc^2} + \frac{V^2}{m^2c^4} + \frac{c\pi^2 + 2V\alpha \cdot \mathbf{p}}{m^2c^3} - \frac{e\hbar\Sigma \cdot \mathbf{B}}{m^2c^3} - \frac{ie\hbar\alpha \cdot \mathbf{E}}{m^2c^3} - \frac{i\hbar}{m^2c^4} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} - \frac{2i\hbar\alpha \cdot \nabla V}{m^2c^4}}\right\}\Psi.$$

Expand the above equation to first order to get

$$S[\Psi] \stackrel{\simeq}{=} \left\{ \beta m c^2 + V + \frac{\beta V^2}{2mc^2} + \frac{\beta \pi^2}{2m} + \frac{V \alpha \cdot \mathbf{p}}{mc^2} - \frac{e\hbar\beta \Sigma \cdot \mathbf{B}}{2mc} - \frac{ie\hbar\beta\alpha \cdot \mathbf{E}}{2mc} - \frac{i\hbar\beta\partial V}{mc^2\partial t} - \frac{i\hbar}{mc}\beta\alpha \cdot \nabla V \right\} \Psi.$$
(54)

It follows that, dropping terms of order $(v/c)^2$, the corresponding (positive energy) Schrödinger equation is (see [23], p 330, last paragraph)

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} = \left\{\frac{\pi^2}{2m} + V + mc^2 - \frac{e\hbar}{2mc}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\cdot\mathbf{B} + V^2/2mc^2\right\}\Psi.$$
(55)

7.1. Perturbations

It is clear that, in general, many problems of interest will have time-dependent vector potentials. In this section, we develop the basics of a perturbation theory for the square-root operator. To begin, we assume that the vector potential can be decomposed as $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{A}_2$, where \mathbf{A}_1 is the potential for a constant magnetic field, while \mathbf{A}_2 may have arbitrary space and time dependence. We first write $[\mathbf{p} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}]^2$ as

$$\left(\mathbf{p}-\frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\mathbf{p}-\frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}_{1}\right)\cdot\mathbf{A}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\cdot\left(\mathbf{p}-\frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}_{1}\right)+|\mathbf{A}_{2}|^{2}$$

Using this result, write equation (53) as $\beta \sqrt{c^2 [\mathbf{p} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}_1]^2 + m^2 c^4 - e\hbar c \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}_1 + F}$, where $F = (mc^2 + \beta V)^2 - m^2 c^4 + |\mathbf{A}_2|^2 - (\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{\mathbf{A}_1}) \cdot \mathbf{A}_2 + 2cV\alpha \cdot \mathbf{p}$

$$(c^{2} + \beta V)^{2} - m^{2}c^{*} + |\mathbf{A}_{2}|^{2} - (\mathbf{p} - \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{A}_{1}) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{2} + 2cV\alpha \cdot \mathbf{p}$$
$$-\mathbf{A}_{2} \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}_{1}) - e\hbar c\Sigma \cdot \mathbf{B}_{2} - ie\hbar c\alpha \cdot \mathbf{E} - i\hbar \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} - 2i\hbar c\alpha \cdot \nabla V.$$

We now assume that the operator $G = c^2 [\mathbf{p} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}_1]^2 + m^2 c^4 - e\hbar c \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}_1$ has the property that $G^{-1/2}F$ is bounded. When this condition is satisfied, we can expand (53) as

$$\beta \sqrt{G + F} = \beta \sqrt{G} \sqrt{I + G^{-1}F} = \beta \sqrt{G} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {\binom{1/2}{n}} (G^{-1}F)^n$$
$$= \beta \left\{ \sqrt{G} + \frac{1}{2} G^{-1/2}F - \frac{1}{8} G^{-1/2}F G^{-1}F + \cdots \right\}.$$
(56)

The assumption insures that equation (56) converges rapidly so that the first few terms give a good approximation. Note that all the terms are computable so that equation (56) has more than theoretical value.

8. Conclusion

In this paper, we have shown that the square-root operator has a well-defined analytic representation, which is uniquely determined by the Green's function for the corresponding Schrödinger equation for all values of the spin (our focus was on spin 1/2). We have constructed the exact solution and have explored a number of simple cases in order to obtain some insight into the physical meaning of the operator. In the free case, the operator has a representation as a nonlocal composite of three singularities. To our knowledge, this is the first example of a physically relevant operator with these properties. In the standard interpretation, the particle component has two negative parts and one (hard core) positive part, while the antiparticle component has two positive parts and one (hard core) negative part. This effect is confined within a Compton wavelength such that, at the point of singularity, they cancel each other providing a finite result. Furthermore, the operator looks (almost) like the identity outside a Compton wavelength, but has a residual instantaneous connection with all other particles in the universe at each point in time. We thus suggest that this operator represents the inside of an extended object.

In addition to the free particle, we have considered the case of a constant vector potential and a constant magnetic field. They both reveal the complex nature of the internal dynamics when interaction is turned on. When the vector potential is constant but nonzero, we get a multiplicative exponential factor.

The next case explored corresponds to a constant magnetic field. In this case, we get two extra terms and a related multiplicative exponential factor. The nonsingular term has both a real and imaginary part. However, the singular term (diverges like 1/r) is purely imaginary and the effective mass becomes complex. The physical picture that emerges is that, in addition to the confined singularities and the additional attractive (repulsive) term, the effective mass of the composite acquires an oscillatory behaviour.

We also constructed the complete propagator for the constant vector potential case. Then we studied an alternative relationship between the Dirac equation (with minimal coupling) and the square-root equation that is much closer than the conventional one. This was accomplished by considering the scalar potential as a part of the mass. This allowed us to derive a new Klein–Gordon equation and a new square-root equation that both have related eigenvalues and the same eigenfunctions as the Dirac equation.

In the last section, we developed a perturbation formula which allows us to consider suitable spacetime-dependent vector and scalar potentials. We have included an appendix with a brief review of the theory of the semigroups of operators relevant for the construction of our analytic representation of the square-root operator.

There are a number of issues that we have not discussed in this paper. Many writers have used the square-root operator to develop constituent quark models. These models are very accurate in the description of a large part of meson and baryon properties (see [25] and references therein). The work of Sucher [26] suggests that, with minimal coupling, the square-root operator may not be Lorentz invariant, while Smith [27] suggests that the equation has very limited gauge properties. These are very complicated problems that require additional study and analysis.

If our conclusion is correct that the square-root operator represents the inside of a particle, then the question of Lorentz invariance may be mute and all assumptions would require careful reconsideration. First, recall that the special theory of relativity has serious problems when applied to nonpoint particles (extended objects). Furthermore, a number of writers have suggested the possible breakdown of Lorentz invariance inside extended (nonlocal) objects. Indeed, sometime ago, Santilli [28–31] called for detailed experimental study to determine if the special theory was still valid inside a hadron and/or hot hyper-dense matter such as a star. (Recall that electrons can travel faster than the speed of light in water and have different effective mass properties inside condensed matter.) Coleman and Glashow (see [32] and references therein) have identified possible terms within the perturbative framework of the standard model, which would allow small departures from Lorentz invariance. Their interest is in identifying phenomena which could be relevant to both cosmic and neutrino physics. At the cosmic level their hope is to undo the GZK cutoff for high-energy cosmic rays; while at the neutrino level, the hope is to identify novel types of neutrino oscillations. The varying speed of light theory (VSL) of Moffat [33] provides an elegant solution to a number of cosmological problems: the horizon, flatness and lambda problems of big-bang cosmology (see also [34]). In conclusion, we note that the strongest experimental (and phenomenological) analysis suggesting deviations from Lorentz symmetry inside hadrons is that due to Arestov et al [35].

Finally, in addition to the obvious possibility that the square-root operator may be used to represent the inside of hadrons, it is also possible that the residual attractive (particle) part may be the long-sought cause for the gravitational interaction in matter. If this view is correct, then we would expect matter and antimatter to be gravitationally attractive among themselves and gravitationally repulsive to each other. This would make physical sense if we seriously take the interpretation of antimatter as matter with its time reversed (as opposed to hole theory). Such a hypothesis is within current experimental capability. Indeed, Santilli [31] has also suggested this possibility and he has identified relevant test experiments. It should be noted that, in the quoted references, Santilli avoided the standard (historical) objections to antigravity by introducing a new class of numbers with a negative unit and called them isoduals of the standard number system. It is easy to show that the antimatter component of our analysis can easily be reinterpreted via Santilli's isodual theory without any change in the results. In this sense, our analysis constitutes a possible theoretical confirmation of the axiomatic prediction of antigravity for matter–antimatter systems as suggested above.

Appendix. Semigroups and fractional powers of operators

This appendix provides a brief summary of the theory of strongly continuous semigroups of linear operators, which is used to explain the general theory of fractional powers of operators. The definitions and basic results are recorded here for reference so as to make the paper self-contained. Hille and Phillips [36], and Yosida [37] are the general references on semigroups (see also [38–40]). Butzer and Berens [41] have a very nice (short) introduction to operator semigroups. Tanabe [42] has a good section on fractional powers, but one should also consult Yosida [37].

Definition A.1. Let $\mathbf{T}(t)$, $t \ge 0$, be a family of bounded linear operators on a Banach space **B**. This family is called a strongly continuous semigroup of operators (or a C_0 -semigroup) if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $\mathbf{T}(t+s) = \mathbf{T}(t)\mathbf{T}(s) = \mathbf{T}(s)\mathbf{T}(t), \forall t, s \ge 0, \mathbf{T}(0) = \mathbf{I},$ (2) $\lim_{t \to s} \mathbf{T}(t)\varphi = \mathbf{T}(s)\varphi, \forall \varphi \in \mathbf{B}.$

If the family $\mathbf{T}(t)$ is defined for $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$, then it is called a C_0 -group and $\mathbf{T}(-t) = \mathbf{T}^{-1}(t)$. By further restriction, we obtain the (well-known) definition of a unitary group.

Theorem A.2. Let $\mathbf{T}(t), t \ge 0$, be a C_0 -semigroup and let $D = \{\varphi \mid \lim_{h \to 0} h^{-1}[\mathbf{T}(h) - \mathbf{I}] \varphi$ exists}. Define $A\varphi = \lim_{h \to 0} h^{-1}[\mathbf{T}(h) - \mathbf{I}]\varphi$ for $\varphi \in D$; then,

(1)
$$D$$
 is dense in **B**,
(2) $\varphi \in D \Rightarrow \mathbf{T}(t)\varphi \in D, t \ge 0,$
(3) $\frac{d}{dt}[\mathbf{T}(t)\varphi] = A\mathbf{T}(t)\varphi = \mathbf{T}(t)A\varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in D.$
(A.1)

Proof. (see [42], p 51). Thus, it follows that $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{T}(t)\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ solves the initial value problem:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\psi(\mathbf{x},t) = A\psi(\mathbf{x},t), \qquad \psi(\mathbf{x},0) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}). \tag{A.2}$$

The operator A is called the generator of the semigroup $\mathbf{T}(t)$, and we can write

$$\mathbf{T}(t)\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \exp\{tA\}\varphi(\mathbf{x}).$$

Theorem A.3. The generator A, of the semigroup {**T**(*t*), $t \ge 0$ }, is a closed linear operator. If ||**T**(*t*) $|| \le M \exp{\{\beta t\}}$, for fixed constants M and β , then the half-plane { $\lambda | \text{Re}(\lambda) > \beta$ } is contained in the resolvent set $\rho(A)$ and, for each such λ , we have ([42], p 55)

$$(\lambda \mathbf{I} - A)^{-1} \varphi = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{T}(t) \varphi \, dt = \mathbf{R}(\lambda, A).$$
(A.3)

 $\mathbf{R}(\lambda, A)$ is called the resolvent operator of A and

 $1 c \sigma + i \infty$

$$\|\mathbf{R}(\lambda, A)\| \leqslant M[\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) - \beta]^{-1}.$$
(A.4)

Definition A.4. Suppose that the operator A generates a C_0 -semigroup on **B**, and there exists a constant M such that (see [39], p 101, theorem 4.6)

$$\|\mathbf{R}(r+\mathrm{i}s,A)\| \leqslant \frac{M}{|s|}, \qquad \forall r > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \neq \mathrm{s} \in \mathbf{R}^{1}.$$
 (A.5)

Let Σ represent the above region in the complex plane, then the family $\{\mathbf{T}(z), z \in \Sigma\}$ is called a holomorphic C_0 -semigroup on **B**. (See [39] for details.)

Introduce the function $f_{t,\alpha}(\lambda)$ defined by ([37], p 259)

$$f_{t,\alpha}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma-i\infty} \exp\{z\lambda - tz^{\alpha}\} dz, \qquad \lambda \ge 0, \qquad f_{t,\alpha}(\lambda) = 0, \qquad \lambda < 0, \quad (A.6)$$

where t > 0, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\sigma > 0$, and the branch of z^{α} is taken so that $\operatorname{Re}(z^{\alpha}) > 0$ when $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$. The branch is a single-valued function in the complex plane cut along the negative real axis. The convergence of the integral (A.6) is insured by the factor $\exp\{-tz^{\alpha}\}$. Define $T_{\alpha}(t)$ by $T_{\alpha}(0)\varphi = \varphi$ and for t > 0

$$\mathbf{T}_{\alpha}(t)\varphi = \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{t,\alpha}(s)\mathbf{T}(s)\varphi \,\mathrm{d}s,\tag{A.7}$$

where $\{\mathbf{T}(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a C_0 -semigroup of operators on **B**.

Theorem A.5. Suppose that the operator A generates a C_0 -semigroup { $\mathbf{T}(t), t \ge 0$ } on **B**. *Then,*

- (1) the family $\{\mathbf{T}_{\alpha}(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a holomorphic C_0 -semigroup on **B**,
- (2) the operator A_{α} , the generator of $\{\mathbf{T}_{\alpha}(t), t \ge 0\}$, is defined by $A_{\alpha}\varphi = -(-A)^{\alpha}\varphi$, and

$$A_{\alpha}\varphi = \frac{\sin\alpha\pi}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\alpha-1} R(\lambda, A) [-A\varphi] \,\mathrm{d}\lambda. \tag{A.8}$$

Proof (see [37], p 260). For our work, we are only interested in the case when $\alpha = 1/2$. Let us deform the path of integration in equation (A.6) into a union of two paths, $r e^{-i\theta}$, when $-r \in (-\infty, 0)$ and $r e^{i\theta}$, when $r \in (0, \infty)$, where $\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq \pi$. In particular, we need $\theta = \pi$. This case leads to

$$f_{t,1/2}(s) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \exp\{-sr\} \sin\{tr^{1/2}\} \,\mathrm{d}r. \tag{A.9}$$

Using a table of Laplace transforms, we have

$$f_{t,1/2}(s) = \frac{ts^{-3/2}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{t^2}{4s}\right\}.$$
 (A.10)

References

- [1] Schrödinger E 1926 Ann. Phys. 81 109
- [2] Gordon W 1926 Z. Phys. 40 117
- [3] Klein O 1926 Z. Phys. 37 895
- [4] Fock V A 1926 Z. Phys. 38 242
- [5] Dudor J 1926 Ann. Phys. 81 632
- [6] Donder Th De and Von Dungen H 1926 Acad. Sci. Paris Compt. Rend. 183 22
- [7] Dirac P A M 1928 Proc. R. Soc. (Lond.) A117 610
- Dirac P A M 1928 Proc. R. Soc. (Lond.) A118 351
- [8] Foldy L L 1962 Quantum Theory of Radiation III and High Energy Physics ed D R Bates (New York: Academic)
- [9] Foldy L L 1956 Phys. Rev. 102 568
- [10] Gill T L FermiLab-Pub-82/60-THY
- [11] Schulman L S 1981 Techniques and Applications of Path Integration (New York: Wiley)
- [12] Gradshteyn I S and Ryzhik I M 1980 Tables of Integrals, Series and Products (New York: Academic)
- [13] Yukawa H 1935 Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan 17 48
- [14] Anderson C D and Neddermeyer S H 1936 Phys. Rev. 50 263
- [15] Lattes C M, Muirhead H, Occhialini G P S and Powell C F 1947 Nature 159 694
- [16] Pryce M H L 1948 Proc. R. Soc. (Lond.) A 195 400
- [17] Gill T L, Zachary W W and Lindesay J 2001 Found. Phys. 31 1299
- [18] Gill T L and Zachary W W 1987 J. Math. Phys. 28 1459
- [19] Gill T L and Zachary W W 2002 J. Math. Phys. 43 69
- [20] Case K M 1955 Phys. Rev. 100 1513
 Case K M 1958 Phys. Rev. 111 1011
- [21] Foldy L L and Wouthuysen S A 1950 Phys. Rev. 78 29
- [22] Pursey D L 1964 Nucl. Phys. 53 174
- [23] Schiff L I 1955 Quantum Mechanics (New York: McGraw-Hill)
- [24] deVries E 1970 Fortschr. Phys. 18 149
- [25] Brau F 2000 Phys. Rev. D 62 014005
- [26] Sucher J 1963 J. Math. Phys. 4 17
- [27] Smith J R U-Cal-D-PHY/IIRPA 93-41
- [28] Santilli R M 1994 Isotopic Generalization of Galilei's and Einstein's Relativities vols 1 and 2, 2nd edn (Kiev: Ukraine Academy of Science)
- [29] Santilli R M 1993 Elements of Hadronic Mechanics: II. Theoretical Foundations (Kiev: Ukraine Academy of Science)
- [30] Santilli R M 1997 Hyperfine Interact. 109 63
- [31] Santilli R M 1999 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 14 2205
- [32] Coleman S and Glashow S L 1999 Preprint hep-ph/9812418-V3
- [33] Moffat J 1993 Found. Phys. 23 411
- [34] Magueijo J 2000 Phys. Rev. D 62 103521
- [35] Arestov Y et al 1998 Found. Phys. Lett. 11 483
- [36] Hille E and Phillips R S 1957 Functional Analysis and Semigroups (Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Pub. vol 3) (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)
- [37] Yosida K 1968 Functional Analysis 2nd edn (New York: Springer)
- [38] Goldstein J A 1985 Semigroups of Operators and Applications (Oxford: Oxford University Press)

- [39] Engel K J and Nagel R 2000 One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations (Graduate Texts in Mathematics vol 194) (New York: Springer)
- [40] Pazy A 1983 Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations (Applied Mathematical Science vol 44) (New York: Springer)
- [41] Butzer P L and Berens H 1967 Semi-Groups of Operators and Approximation vol 145 (New York: Springer)
- [42] Tanabe H 1979 Equations of Evolution (London: Pitman)
- [43] Silenko A J 2003 J. Math. Phys. 44 2952
- [44] Gill T L and Zachary W W 2005 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. to be published